METHOD AND SYSTEM IN AN ELECTRONIC SPREADSHEET FOR COMPARING SERIES OF CELLS

Technical field of the invention

5   The present invention relates to the field of information processing by digital computers, and more particularly to a method and system, in an electronic spreadsheet, for comparing series of cells.

Background art

10   Before computers, numerical analyses, particularly financial ones, were usually prepared on an accountant's columnar pad or spreadsheet, with pencil and calculator in hand. By organising data into columns and rows, spreadsheets afford the rapid assimilation of information by a reader. The task of preparing a spreadsheet on paper, however, is not quite so

15   fast. Instead, the process tends to be very slow, as each entry must be tediously calculated and entered into the spreadsheet. Since all calculations are the responsibility of the preparer, manually prepared spreadsheets are also prone to errors. Hence, preparation of spreadsheets by hand is slow,

20   tedious, and unreliable.

With the advent of microcomputers, a solution was forthcoming in the form of "electronic spreadsheets." Better known simply as "spreadsheets," these software programs provide a computerised replacement for the traditional financial

25   modelling tools: the accountant's columnar pad, pencil, and calculator. In some regards, spreadsheet programs are to those tools what word processors are to typewriters. Spreadsheets

offer dramatic improvements in ease of creating, editing, and using financial models.

A typical spreadsheet program configures the memory of a computer to resemble the column/row or grid format of an accountant's columnar pad, thus providing a visible calculator for a user. Because this "pad" exists dynamically in the computer's memory, however, it differs from paper pads in several important ways. Locations in the electronic spreadsheet, for example, must be communicated to the computer in a format which it can understand. A common scheme for accomplishing this is to assign a number to each row in a spreadsheet, a letter to each column, and another letter to each sheet (or page) of the spreadsheet. To reference a location at column A and row 1 of the second page (i.e., the upper-left hand corner), for example, the user types in "B:A1". In this manner, the spreadsheet defines an addressable storage location or "cell" at each intersection of a row with a column within a given page.

Data entry into an electronic spreadsheet occurs in much the same manner that information would be entered on an accountant's pad. After a screen cursor is positioned at a desired location, the user can enter alphanumeric information. Besides holding text and numeric information, however, spreadsheet cells can store special instructions or "formulas" specifying calculations to be performed on the numbers stored in spreadsheet cells. Such spreadsheet cells can also be defined and named as a range as long as they are arranged as a convex set of cells. A typical example of such a named range simply corresponds to a regular table found in an accountant's pad. In this fashion, range names can serve as variables in an equation, thereby allowing precise mathematical relationships to be defined between cells. The structure and operation of a

spreadsheet program, including advanced functions such as functions and macros, are documented in the technical, trade, and patent literature. For an overview, see e.g., Cobb, *S.,* *Using Quattro Pro* 2, Borland-OsbomeIMcGraw-Mll, 1990; and

5   LeBlond, G. and Cobb, D., *Using* 1-2-3, Que corp., 1985. The disclosures of each of the foregoing are hereby incorporated by reference.

Electronic spreadsheets offer many advantages over their paper counterparts. For one, electronic spreadsheets are much larger

10 (i.e., hold more information) than their paper counterparts; electronic spreadsheets having thousands or even millions of cells are not uncommon. Spreadsheet programs also allow users to perform "what-if" scenarios. After a set of computational relationships has been entered into a worksheet, thanks to

15 imbedded formulas for instance, the spread of information can be recalculated using different sets of assumptions, with the results of each recalculation appearing almost instantaneously. Performing this operation manually, with paper and pencil, would require recalculating every

20 relationship in the model with each change made. Thus, electronic spreadsheet systems were invented to solve "what-if' problems, that is, changing an input and seeing what happens to an output.

Conventional spreadsheets come today with some imbedded

25 facilities allowing the spreadsheet user to develop his/her own tools addressing his/her own specific needs. The most popular facility of that kind is known as the "macro" language which corresponds to a programming language allowing to automate tasks that the user would instead perform manually.

30 Such a language can be used advantageously when the manipulations performed within a spreadsheet correspond to repetitive steps which can be "packaged" within automated

macros. Besides the gain in efficiency provided by such macros, the macros present also the advantage of reducing, if not eliminating, user errors during spreadsheet manipulations. Indeed as the successive steps of a given macro will be followed each time the macro is invoked, any correction applied to a problem found during the execution of the macro will be recorded in the macro, and thus reapplied later on for each new call of the macro. Besides the macro language itself, the macro facility often includes an integrated macro development environment aimed to facilitate the development of macros or the sharing of macros between different spreadsheets. Such environments are typically based on object oriented (OO) techniques which are today the de facto standard for software engineering.

When macros are developed in an electronic spreadsheet, one of the typical objects manipulated by the macro language corresponds to spreadsheet cells which can be arranged as ranges of cells, or more generally to what we call series of cells. A range of cells can be defined as a convex set of cells. A series of cells can be defined as a set of convex sets of cells. When objects such as series of cells are part of the logic of a macro, it is common to have to compare two different objects of this type. This type of comparison aims at determining if a first series of cells is either disjoined from, or equal to, or included in, or overlapping with, or including a second series of cells. The conventional techniques used to perform this type of comparison, such as the ones documented in the technical literature, relies on a geometrical representation of cells within an electronic spreadsheet. For instance a sheet within an electronic spreadsheet can be formally represented by a geometrical plan, with two coordinates. Any cell belonging to this sheet is associated with a row coordinate and with a column coordinate.

With such a formal representation, the comparison of two ranges of cells (named "A" and "B") within a given sheet can be performed with a macro of the following kind:

```
REM Work with rows
if A.StartRow<B.StartRow then
    if B.StartRow<A.EndRow then
        if A.EndRow<B.EndRow then Row=OVERLAP else Row=B_IN_A
    else
            if B.StartRow>A.EndRow then Row=DISJOINED else
Row=OVERLAP
    endif
else
    if A.StartRow>B.StartRow then
        if A.StartRow<B.EndRow then
            if B.EndRow<A.EndRow then Row=OVERLAP else Row=A_IN_B
        else
                if A.StartRow>B.EndRow then Row=DISJOINED else
Row=OVERLAP
        endif
    else
        if A.EndRow<B.EndRow then
            Row=A_IN_B
        else
            if B.EndRow<A.EndRow then Row=B_IN_A else Row=SAME
        endif
    endif
endif
REM work with columns
if A.StartCol<B.StartCol then
    if B.StartCol<A.EndCol then
        if A.EndCol<B.EndCol then Col=OVERLAP else Col=B_IN_A
    else
```

```
                  if   B.StartCol>A.EndCol   then   Col=DISJOINED   else
Col=OVERLAP
        endif
    else
        if A.StartCol>B.StartCol then
            if A.StartCol<B.EndCol then
                if B.EndCol<A.EndCol then Col=OVERLAP else Col=A_IN_B
            else
                     if A.StartCol>B.EndCol then Col=DISJOINED else
Col=OVERLAP
            endif
        else
            if A.EndCol<B.EndCol then
                Col=A_IN_B
            else
                if B.EndCol<A.EndCol then Col=B_IN_A else Col=SAME
            endif
        endif
    endif
    REM get the final comparison on range
    if Col=DISJOINED OR Row=DISJOINED then
        Range=DISJOINED
    else
        if Col=OVERLAP OR Row=OVERLAP then
            Range=OVERLAP
        else
            if Col=SAME then
                Range=Row
            else
                if Row=SAME then
                    Range=Col
                else
                    if Row=Col then Range=Row else Range=OVERLAP
                endif
```

```
        endif
      endif
   endif
```

The above program is not very complex and relatively fast to
execute (the longest path corresponding to 13 statements to
execute), but it only performs the comparison of two ranges of
cells in a two-dimensionnal spreadsheet. When ranges of cells
must be compared in a three-dimensionnal spreadsheet (as it is
the case for conventional electronic spreadsheets available on
the market), then its complexity remains at the same level,
but the execution time is increased. With multi-dimensionnal
environments containing more than 3 dimensions, the execution
time may become excessive.

If now series of cells have to be compared, then another level
of complexity is introduced. Let illustrates it with a simple
example in a two-dimensionnal spreadsheet: two series of cells
named "A" and "B", each constituted by two ranges of cells
respectively named "A1", "A2" and "B1", "B2", are compared to
determine if the series of cells "A" is either disjoined from,
or equal to, or included in, or overlapping with, or including
the series of cells "B". As "A1", "A2", "B1" and "B2" are
ranges of cells, a natural thought process would be to first
compare each of the ranges "A1" and "A2" with each of the
ranges "B1" and "B2", and then to perform some logic based on
the results of the four range comparisons ("A1" vs "B1", "A1"
vs "B2", "A2" vs "B1", and "A2" vs "B2"). It turns out that
this method cannot properly resolve some situations, as
illustrated in FIG **3A** and in FIG **3B**. Within FIG **3A** are
represented the four ranges "A1", "A2" (both shown as
rectangles with solid lines), and "B1", "B2" (both shown as
rectangles with dashed lines). The comparison between the
ranges belonging to the series "A" and the ranges belonging to
the series "B" gives the following result, by using obvious

notations: *Comp(A1,B1) = OVERLAP; Comp(A1,B2) = DISJOINED; Comp(A2,B1) = OVERLAP; Comp(A2,B2) = B_IN_A*. As far as the series "A" and "B" are concerned, the comparison is *Comp(A,B) = B_IN_A* because all the cells belonging to the series B belong also to the series A while the reverse is not true. Looking now at the FIG 3B, the ranges "A1", "A2", "B1" and "B2" compare between them the same way *(Comp(A1,B1) = OVERLAP; Comp(A1,B2) = DISJOINED; Comp(A2,B1) = OVERLAP; Comp(A2,B2) = B_IN_A)* but the comparison between the series "A" and "B" is *Comp(A,B) = OVERLAP* because there exists some cells of the series B belonging to the series A and others not belonging to the series A. To discriminate between the two cases illustrated in the FIG 3A and in the FIG 3B, some additional logic must be introduced on top of the individual range comparison, moving the complexity and the efficiency of this conventional approach to unacceptable limits.

The present invention defines a system and a method for resolving the above mentioned problem in a way which first does not depend on the number of dimensions defined in the working environment, and which second does not depend on the structure of the objects to be compared. The present invention takes advantage of the inheritance properties available in object oriented environments.

Summary of the invention

The present invention relates to the field of information processing by digital computers, and more particularly to a method and system, in an electronic spreadsheet, for comparing two series of cells, a series of cells comprising one or a plurality of cell range, a cell range comprising one or a plurality of cells. The method of comparing two series of cells comprises the steps of:

- defining a boolean attribute, said boolean attribute having a first and a second value;

- assigning the first value of said boolean attribute to each cell of a first series of cells;

- assigning the second value of said boolean attribute to each cell of a second series of cells;

- determining in a first operation whether all the cells of said first series of cells share the same first value of said boolean attribute, or share the same second value of said boolean attribute or do not share a same single value of said boolean attribute;

- assigning the first value of said boolean attribute to each cell of the first series of cells;

- determining in a second operation whether all the cells of the second series of cells share the same first value of said boolean attribute, or share the same second value of said boolean attribute or do not share a same single value of said boolean attribute;

- determining whether the first series and the second series are the same or are disjoined or overlap, or are included one into the other by comparing results of the first operation and the second operation:

  - if all the cells of the first series share the same first value of said boolean attribute in said first operation and if all the cells of the second series share the same second value of said boolean attribute in said second operation, the first series and the second series are disjoined;

  - if all the cells of the first series share the same second value of said boolean attribute in said first operation and if all the cells of the second series share the same first value of said boolean attribute in said

second operation, the first series and the second series
are the same;

- if all the cells of the first series share the same
  second value of said boolean attribute in said first
  operation and if all the cells of the second series do
  not share the same single value of said boolean attribute
  in said second operation, the first series is included in
  the second series;

- if all the cells of the first series do not share the
  same single value of said boolean attribute in said first
  operation and if all the cells of the second series share
  the same first value of said boolean attribute in said
  second operation, the second series is included in the
  second series;

- if all the cells of the first series do not share the
  same single value of said boolean attribute in said first
  operation and if all the cells of the second series do
  not share the same single value of said boolean attribute
  in said second operation, the first series and the second
  series overlap.

Brief description of the drawings

The novel and inventive features believed characteristics of
the invention are set forth in the appended claims. The
invention itself, however, as well as a preferred mode of use,
further objects and advantages thereof, will best be
understood by reference to the following detailed description
of an illustrative detailed embodiment when read in
conjunction with the accompanying drawings, wherein :

- Figure **1A** is a block diagram of a computer system in which the present invention may be embodied.

- Figure **1B** is a block diagram of a software system including an operating system, an application software, and a user interface for carrying out the present invention.

- Figure **1C** illustrates the basic architecture and functionality of a graphical user interface in which the present invention may be embodied.

- Figure **2A** shows a spreadsheet notebook interface according to the preferred embodiment of the present invention.

- Figure **2B** shows the toolbar component of the notebook interface shown in Figure **2A**.

- Figures **2C** and **2D** show page identifiers for rapidly accessing and manipulating individual pages of the notebook interface shown in Figure **2A**.

- Figure **3A** and **3B** illustrate an example showing that the relative comparison of ranges belonging to different series of cells does not determine how compare the series they belong to.

- Figure **4** illustrates the structure of the Comparison Table in the preferred embodiment of the present invention

- Figure **5** is a flow chart illustrating a preferred method for comparing series of cells according to the present invention.

• Figure **6** is a simplified flow chart illustrating the system and method of the present invention.

Detailed description of the preferred embodiment

<u>SYSTEM HARDWARE</u>

5   As shown in FIG. **1A**, the present invention may be embodied on a computer system **100** comprising a central processor **101**, a main memory **102**, an input/output controller **103**, a keyboard **104**, a pointing device **105** (e.g., mouse, track ball, pen device, or the like), a display device **106**, and a mass storage

10   **107** (e.g., hard disk). Additional input/output devices, such as a printing device **108**, may be included in the system **100** as desired. As illustrated, the various components of the system **100** communicate through a system bus **110** or similar architecture. In a preferred embodiment, the computer system

15   **100** includes an IBM-compatible personal computer, which is available from several vendors (including International Business Machine - IBM Corporation of Armonk, N.Y.).

Illustrated in FIG. **1B**, a computer software system **150** is provided for directing the operation of the computer system

20   **100**. Software system **150**, which is stored in system memory **102** and on disk memory **107**, includes a kernel or operating system **151** and a shell or interface **153**. One or more application programs, such as application software **152**, may be "loaded' (i.e., transferred from storage **107** into memory **102**) for

25   execution by the system **100**. The system **100** receives user commands and data through user interface **153**; these inputs may then be acted upon by the system **100** in accordance with instructions from operating module **151** and/or application

module **152**. The interface **153**, which is preferably a graphical user interface (GUI), also serves to display results, whereupon the user may supply additional inputs or terminate the session. In a preferred embodiment, operating system **151**

5   and interface **153** are Microsoft Win95, available from Microsoft Corporation of Redmond, Wash. Application module **152**, on the other hand, includes a spreadsheet notebook of the present invention as described in further detail herein below.

INTERFACE

10   **A. Introduction**

The following description will focus on the presently preferred embodiments of the present invention, which are embodied in spreadsheet applications operative in the Microsoft Win95 environment. The present invention, however,

15   is not limited to any particular application or any particular environment. Instead, those skilled in the art will find that the system and methods of the present invention may be advantageously applied to a variety of system and application software, including database management systems, word

20   processors, and the like. Moreover, the present invention may be embodied on a variety of different platforms, including Macintosh, UNIX, NextStep, and the like. Therefore, the description of the exemplary embodiments which follows is for purposes of illustration and not limitation.

25   Referring now to FIG. **1C**, the system **100** includes a windowing interface or workspace **160**. Window **160** is a rectangular, graphical user interface (GUI) for display on screen **106**; additional windowing elements may be displayed in various sizes and formats (e.g., tiled or cascaded), as desired. At

the top of window **160** is a menu bar **170** with a plurality of user-command choices, each of which may invoke additional submenus and software tools for use with application objects. Window **160** includes a client area **180** for displaying and

5   manipulating screen objects, such as graphic object **181** and text object **182**. In essence, the client area is a workspace or viewport for the user to interact with data objects which reside within the computer system **100**.

Windowing interface **160** includes a screen cursor or pointer

10   **185** for selecting and otherwise invoking screen objects of interest. In response to user movement signals from the pointing device **105**, the cursor **185** floats (i.e., freely moves) across the screen **106** to a desired screen location. During or after cursor movement, the user may generate

15   user-event signals (e.g., mouse button "clicks" and "drags") for selecting and manipulating objects, as is known in the art. For example, Window **160** may be closed, re-sized, or scrolled by "clicking" (selecting) screen components **172**, **174/5**, and **177/8**, respectively.

20   In a preferred embodiment, screen cursor **185** is controlled with a mouse device. Single-button, double-button, or triple-button mouse devices are available from a variety of vendors, including Apple Computer of Cupertino, Calif., Microsoft Corporation of Redmond, Wash., and Logitech

25   Corporation of Fremont, Calif., respectively. More preferably, screen cursor control device **105** is a two-button mouse device, including both right and left "mouse buttons."

Programming techniques and operations for mouse devices are well documented in the programming and hardware literature;

30   see e.g., *Microsoft Mouse Programmer's Reference,* Microsoft

Press, 1989. The general construction and operation of a GUI event-driven system, such as Windows, is also known in the art: see, e.g., Petzold, C., *Programming Windows,* Second Edition, Microsoft Press, 1990. The disclosures of each are hereby incorporated by reference.

B. Preferred interface

Shown in FIG. **2A**, a spreadsheet notebook interface of the present invention will now be described The spreadsheet notebook or workbook of the present invention includes a notebook workspace **200** for receiving, processing, and presenting information, including alphanumeric as well as graphic information. Notebook workspace **200** includes a menu bar **210**, a toolbar **220**, a current cell indicator **230**, an input line **231**, a status line **240**, and a notebook window **250**. The menu bar **210** displays and invokes, in response to user inputs, a main level of user commands. Menu **210** also invokes additional pull down menus, as is known in windowing applications. Input line **231** accepts user commands and information for the entry and editing of cell contents, which may include data, formulas, macros, and the like. Indicator **230** displays an address for the current cursor (i.e., active cell) position, or the address or name of a selected named range (i.e. active selection). At the status line **240**, system **100** displays information about the current state of the workbook; for example, a "READY" indicator means that the system is ready for the user to select another task to be performed.

The toolbar **220**, shown in further detail in FIG. **2B**, comprises a row or palette of tools which provide a quick way for the user to choose commonly-used menu commands or properties. In an exemplary embodiment, toolbar **220** includes file

manipulation buttons **221**, printing buttons **222**, an undo button **223**, cut, copy, and paste buttons **224**, information pop-up window buttons tool **225**, a named range selection button **226**, a style copy button **227**, a column re-sizing button **228**, and a sum button **229**. The functions of these buttons are suggested by their names. For instance, buttons **224** cut, copy and paste data and objects to and from Windows' clipboard. The same actions are also available as corresponding commands in the Edit menu (available from menu bar **210**).

The notebook, which provides an interface for entering and displaying information of interest, includes a plurality of spreadsheet pages. Each page may include conventional windowing features and operations, such as moving, re-sizing, and deleting. In a preferred embodiment, the notebook includes 256 spreadsheet pages, all of which are saved as a single disk file on the mass storage **107**. Workspace **200** may display one or more notebooks, each sized and positioned (e.g., tiled, overlapping, and the like) according to user-specified constraints.

Each spreadsheet page of a notebook includes a 2-D spread. Page A from the notebook **200**, for example, includes a grid in row and column format, such as row 3 and column F. At each row/column intersection, a box or cell (e.g., cell **C4**) is provided for entering, processing, and displaying information in a conventional manner. Each cell is addressable, with a selector being provided for indicating a currently active one (i.e., the cell that is currently selected).

As shown in FIGS. **2C-D**, individual notebook pages are identified by page identifiers **260**, preferably located along one edge of a notebook. In a preferred embodiment, each page

identifier is in the form of a tab member (e.g., members **261a, 262a, 263a**) situated along a top edge of the notebook. Each tab member may include representative indicia, such as textual or graphic labels, including user selected titles representing the contents of a corresponding page. In FIG. **2C**, the tab members **260** are set to their respective default names. For example, the first three tab members (members **261a, 262a, 263a**) are respectively set to A, B, and C. Tab members are typically given descriptive names provided by the user, however. As shown in FIG. **2D**, for example, the first three tab members have now been set to "Contents" (tab member **261b**), "Summary" (tab member **262b**), and "Jan" (tab member **263b**). In a similar manner, the remaining tabs are set to subsequent months of the year. In this manner, the user associates the page identifiers with familiar tabs from an ordinary paper notebook. Thus, the user already knows how to select a page or spread of interest: simply select the tab corresponding to the page (as one would do when selecting a page from a paper notebook).

In addition to aiding in the selection of an appropriate page of information, the user-customizable page identifiers serve aid in the entry of spreadsheet named range addresses. For example, when entering a formula referring to a named range of cells on another page, the user may simply use the descriptive page name in the named range address, thus making it easier for the user to understand the relationship of the cell(s) or information being referenced.

A general description of the features and operation of the spreadsheet notebook interface may be found in Quattro Pro for Windows *(Getting Started, User's Guide and Building*

Spreadsheet   Applications),   available   from   Borland
International.

COMPARISON OF SERIES OF CELLS

A. Introduction

5   As the power of spreadsheet environments has increased since
several years, it is today possible to develop complex custom
applications solely based on spreadsheets, as opposed to
applications developed with general purpose programming
languages like C++ or VisualBasic from Microsoft Corporation.
10   This can be achieved thanks to the spreadsheet imbedded tools
such as macro languages.

Within macros developed thanks to the macro language, it is
common to have to evaluate how two sets of cells compare
together. Such sets of cells are called "series of cells" and
15   are not constrained by any structural rule: the set of cell is
not necessarily connex nor convex. When two such series of
cells have to be compared, the objective is to determined if
the first series of cells (referred to as the series "ASerie")
:

20   • either is included in the second series of cells (referred
   to as the series "BSerie"). In this case all the cells
   belonging to ASerie also belong to BSerie, but some cells
   belonging to Bserie do not belong to ASerie. The comparison
   result between both series is "A_IN_B".

25   • or includes the second series of cells. In this case all the
   cells belonging to Bserie also belong to ASerie but some
   cells belonging to ASerie do not belong to Bserie. The
   comparison result between both series is "B_IN_A".

   • or are the same. In this case all the cells belonging to
30   ASerie also belong to Bserie, and all the cells belonging to

Bserie also belong to ASerie. The comparison result between both series is "SAME".

- or overlap. In this case some cells belonging to ASerie also belong to Bserie and some cells belonging to ASerie do not belong to Bserie, and reversibly some cells belonging to Bserie also belong to ASerie and some cells belonging to Bserie do not belong to ASerie. The comparison result between both series is "OVERLAP".

- or are disjoined. In this case all the cells belonging to ASerie do not belong to Bserie or reversibly all the cells belonging to Bserie do not belong to ASerie. The comparison result between both series is "DISJOINED".

As previously outlined, conventional techniques based on a "geometrical" comparison of series of cells ask for an excessive complexity resulting both into poor performances and in a lack of flexibility with respect to the characteristics (such as the number of dimensions) of the environment to which the series of cells belong.

The present invention offer a user-friendly solution to this problem by defining a method and a system for comparing series of cells.

In the following, the above-mentioned series of cells will correspond to any set of cells, whether they are or not connex or convex.

B. Series Comparator

In contrast to just-described conventional techniques, the present invention provides a more powerful, efficient and generic method for comparing series of cells in the form of a "Series Comparator". The comparator allows an electronic spreadsheet user to easily and efficiency evaluate how two series of cells compare together.

In a preferred embodiment, the present invention is used in three steps :

- **1. The first step** occurs when the spreadsheet user decides, based on some criteria not detailed here, if two series of cells deserve to take advantage of the present invention, that is to be compared by the Series Comparator. The user or a user written program first invokes a specific command called *"CompSeries"* thanks to conventional means available in spreadsheet development environment, such as (but not limited to) dedicated push-buttons, keyboard entry short cuts, menu or sub-menu entries. This command carries as parameters the identifiers of the two series of cells to be compared. Such an identifier may for instance correspond to a name, but can take any other form without departing from the spirit of the present invention.

- **2. The second step** occurs while the *"CompSeries"* command is executed according to the method and system of the present invention. During the execution of the *"CompSeries"* command, the central processor **101** typically access data within the main memory **102**. This main memory storage **102** is used to record a *"Comparison Table"* **400** as described in FIG 4. As further described in next section, this table allows to record some key intermediary information used to eventually determine how the two series of cells compare together.

- **3. The third step** occurs when the *"CompSeries"* command execution completes, so that the result of the series of cells comparison is returned back to the command originator.

C. Comparison Table

The decision to compare two series of cells belongs to the spreadsheet application user. A single repository, called *"Comparison Table"*, is used to record some key intermediary

5   information during the process of series of cells comparison. This Comparison Table is preferably saved on a main memory **102**, but could also be saved on a non volatile memory (typically but not necessary as part of the spreadsheet disk file on the mass storage **107**).

10   Referring now to FIG. **4**, the Comparison Table **400** corresponds to a logical simple structure made of several records **401**, **402**, **403** and **404** each of them recording the result of intermediary tests performed during the execution of the *"CompSeries"* command.

15   • The field **401**, referred to as **Atrue**, is used to record if at least one cell belonging to **ASerie** has a temporary attribute **TempAttribute** with the value True after having set the same attribute of **BSerie** to the value False.

   • The field **402**, referred to as **Afalse**, is used to record if at least one cell belonging to **ASerie** has a temporary

20   attribute **TempAttribute** with the value False after having set the same attribute of **BSerie** to the value False.

   • The field **403**, referred to as **Btrue**, is used to record if at least one cell belonging to **BSerie** has a temporary attribute

25   **TempAttribute** with the value True after having set the same attribute of **ASerie** to the value True.

   • The field **404**, referred to as **Bfalse**, is used to record if at least one cell belonging to **BSerie** has a temporary attribute **TempAttribute** with the value False after having

30   set the same attribute of **ASerie** to the value True.

D. CompSeries method

The method for comparing series of cells to take advantage of the present invention is summarised in flowchart **600** of FIG 6. The method of comparing two series of cells comprises the following steps:

- At step **601**, the method is in its default state, waiting for an event to initiate the process by calling the **CompSeries** command.

- At step **602**, the **CompSeries** command is detected.

- At step **603**, the parameters of the command are retrieved. They correspond to the identifiers of the two series of cells to be mutually compared. The identifier of the first series of cells is referred to as **"ASerie"** while the identifier of the second series of cells is referred to as **"BSerie"**.

- At step **604**, the Comparison Table **400** is initialised.

- At step **605**, the method sets a temporary attribute **TempAttribute** of the **ASerie** object to the value True. Then the method sets the same temporary attribute **TempAttribute** of the **BSerie** to the value False.

- At step **606**, a test is performed to get the value of the temporary attribute **TempAttribute** of the **ASerie**. Two fields **401** and **402** of the Comparison Table **400** are updated according to the value of this attribute of **ASerie**.

- At step **607**, the method sets a temporary attribute **TempAttribute** of the **ASerie** object to the value True.

- At step **608**, a test is performed to get the value of the temporary attribute **TempAttribute** of the **BSerie**. Two fields **403** and **404** of the Comparison Table **400** are updated according to the value of this attribute of **BSerie**.

- At step **609**, the result of the comparison between the two series of cells ASerie and Bserie is derived from the values of all the fields **401**, **402**, **403** and **404** of the Comparison table **400**.

5
- At step **610**, the result of the comparison is passed back to the command originator. Then control is given back to the initial step **601** for waiting for a new command to handle.

FIG **5**, is a detailed flowchart of the method according to the present invention. This method can be seen as the processing
10   of the *CompSeries* command:

- At step **501**, the method is in its default state, waiting for an event to initiate the process by calling the **CompSeries** command.
- At step **502**, an event is detected, as a result of either a
15   user action, or a program action. This action can be for instance a specific combination of key on the keyboard **104**, or the click of the pointing device **105** on a specific button, or an internal call to a subroutine, or any other similar means not further specified here. In all event
20   cases, the **CompSeries** command is detected.
- At step **503**, the parameters of the command are retrieved. They correspond to the identifiers of the two series of cells to be mutually compared. The identifier of the first series of cells is referred to as **"ASerie"** while the
25   identifier of the second series of cells is referred to as **"BSerie"**.
- At step **504**, the Comparison Table **400** is initialised. It corresponds to first initialise the **Atrue** field **401** to the value False, then to initialise the **Afalse** field **402** to the
30   value False, then to initialise the **Btrue** field **403** to the

value False, and then to initialise the **Bfalse** field **404** to the value False.

- At step **505,** the method sets a temporary attribute **TempAttribute** of the **ASerie** object to the value True. Due to the inheritance property of this attribute, this also sets the same value True to the same attribute **TempAttribute** of each cell belonging to **ASerie.** Then the method sets the same temporary attribute **TempAttribute** of the **BSerie** to the value False. Due to the inheritance property of this attribute, this also sets the same value False to the same attribute **TempAttribute** of each cell belonging to **BSerie.**

- At step **506,** a test is performed to get the value of the temporary attribute **TempAttribute** of the **ASerie.** The possible values are:
  - True if all the cells belonging to **ASerie** have their temporary attribute **TempAttribute** set to the value True. In this case, control is given to step **507.**
  - False if all the cells belonging to **ASerie** have their temporary attribute **TempAttribute** set to the value False. In this case, control is given to step **509.**
  - Undetermined if some cells belonging to **ASerie** have their temporary attribute **TempAttribute** set to the value True while some other cells belonging to **ASerie** have their temporary attribute **TempAttribute** set to the value False. In this case, control is given to step **508.**

- At step **507,** the **Atrue** field **401** within the Comparison Table **400** is set to the value True. Then control is given to step **510.**

- At step **508,** the **Atrue** field **401** within the Comparison Table **400** is set to the value True and the **Afalse** field **402** within the Comparison Table **400** is set to the value True. Then control is given to step **510.**

- At step **509**, the **Afalse** field **402** within the Comparison Table **400** is set to the value True. Then control is given to step **510**.

- At step **510**, the method sets a temporary attribute **TempAttribute** of the **ASerie** object to the value True. Due to the inheritance property of this attribute, this also sets the same value True to the same attribute **TempAttribute** of each cell belonging to **ASerie**.

- At step **511**, a test is performed to get the value of the temporary attribute **TempAttribute** of the **BSerie**. The possible values are:

  - True if all the cells belonging to **BSerie** have their temporary attribute **TempAttribute** set to the value True. In this case, control is given to step **512**.

  - False if all the cells belonging to **BSerie** have their temporary attribute **TempAttribute** set to the value False. In this case, control is given to step **514**.

  - Undetermined if some cells belonging to **BSerie** have their temporary attribute **TempAttribute** set to the value True while some other cells belonging to **BSerie** have their temporary attribute **TempAttribute** set to the value False. In this case, control is given to step **513**.

- At step **512**, the **Btrue** field **403** within the Comparison Table **400** is set to the value True. Then control is given to step **515**.

- At step **513**, the **Btrue** field **403** within the Comparison Table **400** is set to the value True and the **Bfalse** field **404** within the Comparison Table **400** is set to the value True. Then control is given to step **515**.

- At step **514**, the **Bfalse** field **404** within the Comparison Table **400** is set to the value True. Then control is given to step **515**.

- At step **515**, the method performs a test on the content of the various fields **401**, **402**, **403** and **404** of the Comparison Table **400**. Even if each of these fields can either take the value True or False, representing a set of 16 possible combinations, only five combinations are possible. If the respective fields **Atrue 401**, **Afalse 402**, **Btrue 403** and **Bfalse 404** take the values:
  - True, True, True and True as shown in FIG 4 by the Comparison Table example **405**, then control is given to step **516**.
  - True, True, True and False as shown in FIG 4 by the Comparison Table example **406**, then control is given to step **517**.
  - True, False, False and True as shown in FIG 4 by the Comparison Table example **407**, then control is given to step **518**.
  - False, True, True and False as shown in FIG 4 by the Comparison Table example **408**, then control is given to step **519**.
  - False, True, True and True as shown in FIG 4 by the Comparison Table example **409**, then control is given to step **520**.
- At step **516**, the result of the comparison of the two series of cells **ASerie** and **BSerie** is set to the value "OVERLAP". Then control is given to step **521**.
- At step **517**, the result of the comparison of the two series of cells **ASerie** and **BSerie** is set to the value "B_IN_A". Then control is given to step **521**.
- At step **518**, the result of the comparison of the two series of cells **ASerie** and **BSerie** is set to the value "DISJOINED". Then control is given to step **521**.

- At step **519**, the result of the comparison of the two series of cells **ASerie** and **BSerie** is set to the value "SAME". Then control is given to step **521**.

- At step **520**, the result of the comparison of the two series of cells **ASerie** and **BSerie** is set to the value "A_IN_B". Then control is given to step **521**.

- At step **521**, the result of the comparison between the two series of cells **ASerie** and **BSerie**, as determined during one of the previous steps **516**, or **517**, or **518**, or **519**, or **520**, is passed back as the result of the **CompSeries** command to the originator of the command. Then control is given back to the initial step **501** for waiting for a new command to handle.

The step **515** of determining how the both series of cells **ASerie** and **BSerie** mutually compare, can be summarized in an alternate embodiment as follows. The ouput of the comparison is derived from a decision table taking the following form.

ASerie BSerie	All cells true	All cells false	Some cells true, some other false
All cells true	n.a.	SAME	B_IN_A
All cells false	DISJOINED	n.a.	n.a.
Some cells true, some other false	n.a.	A_IN_B	OVERLAP

B_IN_A : Bserie is included in Aserie

A_IN_B : Aserie is included in Bserie

DISJOINED : ASerie and BSerie are disjoined

SAME : Aserie and Bserie are the same

OVERLAP : Aserie and Bserie are overlapping

ALTERNATE EMBODIMENTS

While the invention has been particularly shown and described with reference to a preferred embodiment, it will be understood that various changes in form and detail may be made therein without departing from the spirit, and scope of the invention.

The Series Comparator method and system according to the present invention may be used advantageously in those environments where elements of information are organised as multidimensional tables having more than three dimensions.